## 

	d .	
1 2 3 4 5 6	LIONEL Z. GLANCY (#134180) MICHAEL GOLDBERG (#188669) ROBERT V. PRONGAY (#270796) ELAINE CHANG (#293937) GLANCY BINKOW & GOLDBERG LLP 1925 Century Park East, Suite 2100 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 201-9150 Facsimile: (310) 201-9160 E-mail: info@glancylaw.com	
7 8	Attorneys for Plaintiff Steven Taormina [Additional Counsel on Signature Page]	
9 10	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	STEVEN TAORMINA, Individually and on	Case No. 5:14-cv-02711-BLF
13	Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	CLASS ACTION
14	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND THREE TRANSPOSITION
15	v.	ORDER TO CONTINUE THE INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
<ul><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul>	ANNIE'S, INC., JOHN M. FORAKER, KELLY J. KENNEDY, and ZAHIR M. IBRAHIM,	RESET RELATED DEADLINES, AND EXTEND DEFENDANT'S TIME TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND
18	Defendants.	TO THE COMPLAINT
19		[CIVIL L.R. 16-2, 7-12]
20   21		Current CMC Date: November 20, 2014 Time: 1:30 PM
22		Judge: Hon. Beth L. Freeman
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	d.	

#### Case 5:14-cv-02711-BLF Document 25 Filed 08/25/14 Page 2 of 8

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 16-2 and 7-12, Plaintiff Steven Taormina ("Taormina" or "Plaintiff") and defendants Annie's, Inc., John M. Foraker, Kelly J. Kennedy, and Zahir M. Ibrahim (collectively, "Defendants"), hereby agree and stipulate that good cause exists to request an order from the Court extending Defendants' time to respond to the complaint and rescheduling the Initial Case Management Conferences currently set for November 20, 2014, pursuant to this Court's June 11, 2014 Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference and ADR Deadlines (Dkt. #6), and to adjust accordingly the related deadlines set forth therein.

#### **RECITALS**

WHEREAS, on June 11, 2014, Plaintiff Taormina filed a putative class action complaint, captioned Taormina v. Annie's, Inc. et al., Case No. 14-cv-02711-BLF (the "Taormina Action"), against Defendants for violations of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934;

WHEREAS, on June 11, 2014, Plaintiff Taormina provided notice to the putative class, and the sixty day deadline to file motions for consolidation of the related actions, appointment of lead plaintiff and approval of selection of lead counsel and liaison counsel has not yet passed;

WHEREAS, on June 30, 2014, a similar putative class action complaint was filed by Donna L. Weiss in this Court asserting the same or substantially similar claims against Defendants, captioned Weiss v. Annie's, Inc. et al., Case No. 14-cv-03001-BLF (the "Weiss Action");

WHEREAS, on August 1, 2014, the parties filed an administrative motion to relate the Taormina Action and the Weiss Action pursuant to Northern District of California Civil Local

#### Case 5:14-cv-02711-BLF Document 25 Filed 08/25/14 Page 3 of 8

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

Rule 3-12(a) because these actions involve substantially the same parties and events and are class actions brought against the same Defendants under the federal securities laws<sup>1</sup>;

WHEREAS, under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 ("PSLRA"), when a putative class action alleging securities fraud is filed, a process must be followed whereby the plaintiff gives notice to the putative class, there is a sixty (60) day deadline for motion(s) for appointment of lead plaintiff to be filed, and the Court appoints lead plaintiff(s) and approves the selection of lead counsel. 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a);

WHEREAS, on August 11, 2014, three purported shareholders filed motions to be appointed lead plaintiff and to consolidate the actions;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff Taormina anticipates that the actions will be consolidated and that the lead plaintiff will accordingly need to file a consolidated amended complaint;

WHEREAS, the parties believe that, in order to avoid the needless waste of the Court's and the parties' resources, it would be prudent to defer the initial case management conference and related deadlines until a lead plaintiff has been appointed, the lead plaintiff's selection of lead counsel has been approved, the lead plaintiff has filed a consolidated amended complaint, Defendants have had the opportunity to file any motion to dismiss, and the Court has ruled on Defendants' anticipated motion to dismiss; and

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by the parties hereto, through their undersigned counsel, as follows:

1. Defendants need not answer, move or otherwise respond to the Complaint in this action or any related, subsequently filed actions transferred to this Court until a date to be set

28

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The administrative motion to relate also included a derivative action entitled *Anna H. Goodman v. John M. Foraker, et al.*, Case No. 14-cv-02760-NC (the "*Goodman Derivative Action*"). The parties do not anticipate that the "*Goodman Derivative Action*" will be consolidated with the "*Taormina Action*" or the "*Weiss Action*."

following the appointment of a lead plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §78u-4(a)(3)(B) and the filing by such lead plaintiff of a consolidated amended complaint.

- 2. Within forty-five (45) days from the date that the Court appoints lead plaintiff(s) and lead counsel pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(3)(B), such lead plaintiff(s) shall file an amended consolidated complaint ("Amended Complaint").
- 3. Within forty-five (45) days from the date that an Amended Complaint is filed by the Court-appointed lead plaintiff(s), Defendants shall file a motion to dismiss, answer, or other response to such Amended Complaint.
- 4. In the event the Defendants file a motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint, lead plaintiff(s) shall have 45 days from filing of such motion in which to file papers in opposition to the motion. Defendants shall have 30 days from the filing of lead plaintiff's opposition papers in which to file reply papers.
- 5. The case management conference presently scheduled in the *Taormina* Action for November 20, 2014, along with any associated deadlines under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rules (including ADR deadlines), shall be vacated, and reset to a date after the Court rules on Defendants' anticipated motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint.
- 6. This Stipulation is entered into without prejudice to any party seeking any interim relief.
- 7. Nothing in this Stipulation shall be construed as a waiver of any of Defendants' rights or positions in law or equity, or as a waiver of any defenses that Defendants would otherwise have, including, without limitation, jurisdictional defenses.
  - 8. The Parties have not sought any other extensions of time in this action.
  - 9. The Parties do not seek to reset these dates for the purpose of delay, and the

# 

1	proposed new dates will not have an effect on any pre-trial and trial dates as the Court has yet to		
2	schedule these dates.		
3	WHEREFORE, the Parties respectfully request that this Court issue an order granting the		
4	parties' request to reset the Initial Case Management Conference and related deadlines as set		
5	forth in the following [Proposed] Order.		
6	IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.		
7			
8	DATED: August 18, 2014	GLANCY BINKOW & GOLDBERG LLP	
9		By: s/Robert V. Prongay	
10		Lionel Z. Glancy	
11		Michael Goldberg Robert V. Prongay	
12		Elaine Chang	
		1925 Century Park East, Suite 2100 Los Angeles, California 90067	
13		Telephone: (310) 201-9150	
14		Facsimile: (310) 201-9160	
15		LAW OFFICES OF HOWARD G. SMITH	
16		Howard G. Smith	
		3070 Bristol Pike, Suite 112	
17		Bensalem, PA 19020 Telephone: (215) 638-4847	
18		Facsimile: (215) 638-4867	
19		Attorneys for Plaintiff Steven Taormina	
20	DATED: August 18, 2014	COOLEY LLP	
21	DATED. August 10, 2014	COOLET EEI	
22		By: <u>s/ Shannon M. Eagan</u>	
23		John C. Dwyer Shannon M. Eagan	
		Jeffrey M. Kaban	
24		Meaghan Banks-Innes Five Palo Alto Square	
25		3000 El Camino Real	
26		Palo Alto, CA 94306-2155	
		Telephone: (650) 843-5000 Facsimile: (650) 849-7400	
27		racsilline. (030) 649-7400	
28	Attorneys for Defendants		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER		

Case No. 5:14-cv-02711-BLF

**ORDER** PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: <u>OE \*</u> • oÁGÍ ÉÁGEFI Hon. Beth L. Freeman United States District Court Judge 

> STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER Case No. 5:14-cv-02711-BLF

# PROOF OF SERVICE VIA ELECTRONIC POSTING PURSUANT TO NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA LOCAL RULES AND LOCAL CIVIL RULE 5-1

I, the undersigned, say:

I am a citizen of the United States and am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 1925 Century Park East, Suite 2100, Los Angeles, California 90067.

On August 18, 2014, I served the following document:

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE THE INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE, RESET RELATED DEADLINES, AND EXTEND DEFENDANT'S TIME TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT

By posting the document to the ECF Website of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, for receipt electronically by the parties as listed on the attached Court's ECF Service List.

And on any non-ECF registered parties:

By U.S. Mail: By placing true and correct copies thereof in individual sealed envelope: with postage thereon fully prepaid, which I deposited with my employer for collection and mailing by the United States Postal Service. I am readily familiar with my employer's practice for the collection and processing of correspondence or mailing with the United States Postal Service. In the ordinary course of business, this correspondence would be deposited by my employer with the United States Postal Service that same day.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on August 18, 2014, at Los Angeles, California.

s/Robert V. Prongay
Robert V. Prongay

# Mailing Information for a Case 5:14-cv-02711-BLF Steven Taormina v. Annie's, Inc. et al

#### **Electronic Mail Notice List**

The following are those who are currently on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case.

## • Meaghan Banks-Innes

mbanks@cooley.com

#### Elaine Chang

echang@glancylaw.com

#### • John C. Dwyer

dwyerjc@cooley.com,giovannonib@cooley.com

#### • Shannon Marie Eagan, Esq

seagan@cooley.com,galancr@cooley.com

#### • Lionel Z. Glancy

info@glancylaw.com,lboyarsky@glancylaw.com,lglancy@glancylaw.com

#### • Michael M. Goldberg

mmgoldberg@glancylaw.com,csadler@glancylaw.com,info@glancylaw.com,rprongay@glancylaw.com

#### • Jeffrey Michael Kaban

kabanjm@cooley.com,lalmanza@cooley.com

#### • Robert Vincent Prongay

rprongay@glancylaw.com,mmgoldberg@glancylaw.com,echang@glancylaw.com

#### • Mark Punzalan

markp@punzalanlaw.com,office@punzalanlaw.com

#### • Laurence M. Rosen

lrosen@rosenlegal.com,larry.rosen@earthlink.net

#### Avraham Noam Wagner

avi@thewagnerfirm.com

#### **Manual Notice List**

The following is the list of attorneys who are **not** on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case (who therefore require manual noticing). You may wish to use your mouse to select and copy this list into your word processing program in order to create notices or labels for these recipients.

• (No manual recipients)

1 of 2 8/18/2014 3:12 PM